

Organized 1870 to Promote the Conservation, Development and Wise Utilization of the Fisheries

5410 Grosvenor Lane, Suite 110 • Bethesda, Maryland 20814-2199 301-897-8616 • FAX 301-897-8096 • main@fisheries.org • www.fisheries.org

AFS CONTINUING EDUCATION COURSE APPROVAL PROCEDURES AND FORM

The Continuing Education Committee (CEC) must approve all CE courses or activities sponsored by the American Fisheries Society.

Courses or activities which carry academic credit, lead to a high school equivalency certificate, or are organization oriented programs or short direction programs only casually related to any specific upgrading purpose or goal would normally not qualify for approval.

The objective of the AFS Continuing Education Program, administered by the CEC, is to provide members with quality opportunities to develop their expertise throughout their careers as fisheries professionals. Workshops and CE courses, which are often sponsored and/or prepared by AFS members, are a primary means of fulfilling this goal. CE offerings can be used to receive Professional Development Qualifying Points (PDQPs) needed to meet and retain Associate and Certified Fisheries Professional accreditation through the AFS Certification Program.

*All CE classes must be reviewed and approved by the CEC every 3 years or upon changes to course content.

Course Proposal & Approval Procedures:

Please review the following form carefully, and provide thorough and succinct responses to all requested information. Note: This form is the primary means by which the CEC evaluates your proposal. Much like a well-constructed abstract enhances a scientific paper, a thoughtful, informative, well-prepared application attests to the quality of the proposed CE offering, and will reduce the chance of rejection or delay in its approval by the CEC.

Completed course approval forms should be emailed to the Course Contact at least 2 months prior to the beginning of the course. The CEC will review forms for completeness. If more information is needed, the CEC Chair will send anonymous reviewer comments, suggestions, and questions to the Course Proposer. If the CEC approval is contingent upon implementing suggested revisions, the Course Proposer will submit a revised Course Approval Form to the Course Contact within two weeks of being notified of the CEC's decision. The CEC will issue an approval decision within 3 weeks of the Course Proposer submitting a revised approval form. The Course Contact will notify the Course Proposer of the CEC's final decision.

COURSE CONTACT:

Director of Student & Professional Development bpike@fisheries.org or 301.897.8616, ext. 213.

Instructor Responsibilities:

Course Instructors are required to:

- (1) Have all participants sign an attendance sheet
- (2) Ask participants to complete course and instructor evaluation forms. Recommended forms are included at the end of this document.
- (3) After the course, email the attendance form and completed course & instructor evaluations to the Course Contact

Additional information and suggestions for completing this form precede each section. Questions on completing this form should be directed to the Course Contact.

TITLE OF COURSE OR PROGRAM (complete, formal title):

INSTRUCTION & DELIVER' Classroom Instruction	Y (check all that apply)):	
Lecture/Lecture with lab			
Workshop/Institute/Confe	rence Seminar		
Field Instruction			
PROGRAM CODES/COURS	SE INSTRUCTION CA	TEGORY (check al	I that apply):
1100 Early Life History			
1200 Introduced Fishes			
1300 Fish Health/Culture			
1400 Leadership/Commu	nications		
1500 Socioeconomics			
1600 Education			
1700 Population Manage	ment/Techniques		
1800 Marine Fisheries			
1900 Water Quality			
2000 Computer Science i	n Fisheries		
2100 Fish Habitat Manag	ement/Techniques		
2200 Policy/Administratio	n		
2300 Environmental Law			
2400 Other (Please speci	fy):		
COURSE PROPOSER:			
Name:			
Address 1:			
City:	State:	Zip:	Country:
Phone:			
E-mail:			
Fax:			



COURSE DATES & LOCATION:	
Beginning Date:	Ending Date:
Location/Venue:	
City:	State/Province:
program/activity for participants, their or advancements in technology that haddress the changes to participants. Clearly identify the target audience (e	ALYSIS STATEMENT: Clearly identify the needs for the planned supervisors, their organization and/or profession. Discuss what changes have occurred and the relevancy of the planned program/activity to Take into consideration other complementary and competitive courses. example: new employees, journey level professionals, administrators, etc). Exponse to [the identified needs] of a [target audience] and needs assessment if evel] in [the organization].
utilize or perform upon completion of each objective. Learning objectives s concise written statements of intende	IES: State what new knowledge or skill the participant will be able to the course. List the learning objectives and action items associated with should be measurable. Standard: The program/activity has clear and delearning outcomes. The learning outcomes should specify the attitudes that the learner should be able to demonstrate following the
Participants will be able to apply the plan	orinciples to develop a plan for control of during development of management strategies for skill in by completing class exercises and databases.

CONTENT & METHODOLOGY: Briefly describe the topic(s) to be covered and the instructional methods that will be employed during the activity/program. Active instructional methods are strongly encouraged and should be identified.

<u>Standard:</u> The content and instructional methodologies are consistent with the objectives or learning outcomes, sequenced to facilitate learning and permit opportunities for the learner to participate and receive feedback.

<u>Example:</u> Participants will learn to manipulate data in the program to complete the exercises; active discussions will incorporate problem solving techniques that participants will be able to apply upon returning to the home station.

REQUIREMENTS FOR SATISFACTORY COMPLETION: Briefly state the requirements for satisfactory completion of the activity/program. Participants should be informed of the requirements for satisfactory completion prior to their participation. High attendance should be required (e.g. 90% and above). Standard: Requirements for satisfactory completion are based on the purpose and intended learning outcomes.

ASSESSMENT OF LEARNING OUTCOMES: Briefly state how individual performances relate to intended learning outcomes will be assessed.

<u>Standard:</u> Procedures established during program planning are used, when applicable, to measure the achievement of intended learning outcomes specified for the program/activity as they relate to changes in learner's knowledge, skills, or attitudes.

<u>Examples of assessment tools/activities:</u> performance demonstration under real or simulated conditions, written or oral examinations, written reports, completion of a project, self-assessment, or locally or externally developed standardization examinations.

INSTRUCTIONAL PERSONNEL: List the instructional personnel involved in planning and conducting the program/activity and indicate the approximate proportion of instruction time for each. A resume of up to two pages must be submitted for instructors.

<u>Standard:</u> Qualified instructional personnel are directly involved in determining the program purpose, developing intended learning outcomes, and planning and conducting each learning experience.

Name:	Hours/Minutes of instruction time:
Name:	Hours/Minutes of instruction time:
Name:	Hours/Minutes of instruction time:

DETERMINING NUMBER OF CONTACT HOURS: The 60-minute clock hour is used as the contact hour. Coffee breaks, lunches, etc. are not included. Field trips (minus travel and other administrative time) may be considered contact hours, but usually on a basis of at least two hours of field trip equivalent to one contact hour of classroom instruction.

The following and similar activities are not included when calculating the number of contact hours:

- Time for study, assigned reading, and other related activities, outside the classroom or meeting schedule.
- Meeting time devoted to business of committee activities.
- -Meeting time devoted to announcements, welcoming speeches, or organizational reports.
- Time allocated to social activities, refreshment breaks, luncheons, receptions, dinner and so forth. Note: time devoted to a luncheon or dinner presentation integral to the continuing education experience may be included in calculating instructional contact hours. Please include a syllabus or topical outline with time allocations.

<u>Time Schedule Example:</u>	
Day 1	
8:00 – 9:00 a.m.	Topic name
9:00 – 12:00 p.m.	Topic name
Total Number of Contact Hours	•

PROGRAM EVALUATION: All AFS CE courses and instructors must be evaluated by participants. An effective evaluation asks participants to rate the course and instructor effectiveness. CE Instructors are strongly encouraged to use the CE Course and Instructor Evaluation forms located at the end of this document. However, if you choose to use a different evaluation form, briefly describe how major aspects of the continuing education experience, i.e. organizational input, participant input, the design, content, content ct. e as a f the

strongly encouraged. Email completed <u>Standard:</u> Evaluation refers to the quality of	Participant evaluations, which may include self-assessments, are I course and instructor evaluation forms to the Course Contact of the administration and operation of the continuing education experience a designed to measure what the participants consider to be the benefits of the faction with the physical arrangements.
I plan to use the course and instruc	ctor evaluation forms attached to this Course Approval Form.
XIII. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (Puseful in evaluating your course prop	rovide any additional information the CEC may find relevant or posal):
Submitted by:	Approved by:
(NAME & TITLE)	(NAME & TITLE)
(E-SINATURE)	(E-SIGNATURE)
(DATE)	(DATE)

^{*}Email this completed form to the Course Contact at bpike@fisheries.org



Please complete this anonymous form to evaluate the course. Your feedback will be used to improve AFS continuing education courses and better meet your professional development needs.

Course title:	
Instructor name(s):	
Date:	

Circle the number that best indicates your level of agreement with each statement.

Course Content Evaluation	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Unsure	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
The learning objectives/outcomes were clearly stated	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
The content/activities were relevant to achieving the stated learning objectives/outcomes	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
The presentation of information and sequence of activities were well organized	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
All necessary materials/equipment/resources were provided or made readily available	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
If I apply the information and/or skills developed in this course to my work it will enhance my performance/improve my professional practice	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
The price of this course is reasonable	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
I would recommend this course to others	5	4	3	2	1	N/A

- 1. Which part(s) of this course was MOST useful for enhancing your performance/professional practice?
- 2. Which part(s) of this course was LEAST useful for enhancing your performance/professional practice?
- 3. List any specific suggestions that will help us improve this course
- 4. Please provide any other training or CE classes that, if offered, you would very likely take. (Please be specific).
- 5. Additional comments?



Please complete this anonymous form to evaluate the course instructor. Complete a separate evaluation form for each course instructor, if applicable. Your feedback will be used to improve AFS continuing education courses and better meet your professional development needs.

Course title:	
Instructor name: _	 -
Date:	

Circle the number that best indicates your level of agreement with each statement.

	Strongly Agree	Somewhat Agree	Unsure	Somewhat Disagree	Strongly Disagree	N/A
The instructor appeared highly knowledgeable about the subject matter	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
The instructor was well-prepared for the class	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
The instructor presented the material/content in a way that increased my knowledge and/or skills	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
The instructor engaged class participants with activities/exercises	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
The instructor responded well to student questions/comments and needs	5	4	3	2	1	N/A
The instructor effectively managed class time	5	4	3	2	1	N/A

- 1. What did this instructor do to that made his/her instruction effective?
- 2. What could this instructor do to be more effective in his/her instruction of the material (please be specific)?
- 3. Additional comments?
- 1. What did this instructor do to that made his/her instruction effective?
- 2. What could this instructor do to be more effective in his/her instruction of the material (please be specific)?
- 3. Additional comment